# Case 3:12-cv-03149-JSC Document 1 Filed 06/19/12 Page 1 of 8

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 CAND (Rev. 12/11)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

de civil doction billotti (===										
. (a) PLAINTIFFS OE MAYO				DEFENDANTS DIVERSIFIED COLLECTION SERVICES, INC.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KLEBERG (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant ALAMEDA  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF						
				NOTE:	THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOL	VED.			
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) G. Thomas Martin, III (SBN 218456) PRICE LAW GROUP, APC 15760 Ventura Blvd., #1100, Encino, CA 91436 Telephone: (818) 907-2030				Attomeys (If Known)  CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)						
I. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. C		PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in ( and One Box )	One Box for	Plaintiff)	
U.S. Government  Plaintiff  (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citiz		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	incipal Place	PTF	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant				en of Another State	0 2 🗆 2	Incorporated and P		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
				en or Subject of a  oreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	<b>□</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False (	_		
□ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	□ 365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal SC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust☐			
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	y   0 6	90 Other	200	30 137	☐ 430 Banks		44	
<ul> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ul>	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPEI	RTY RIGHTS	□ 450 Comm		,	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury					460 Deportation			
□ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		□ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ Corrupt C						
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	□ 368 Asbestos Person	ıal	₩ 480 Consum						
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		LABOR	LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 490 Ca					
(Excl. Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPE	RTY 7	10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA		□ 850 Securi		dities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act		k Lung (923)	Excha	_	ctions	
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	- 1	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 863 DIW C/DIW W (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI		□ 891 Agricu			
190 Other Contract	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damag		51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI		☐ 893 Enviro			
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damag		Leave Act			□ 895 Freedo	om of Inform	nation	
D 170 Prancisc	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	07	90 Other Labor Litigation			Act  896 Arbitr	ation		
	Med. Malpractice	I DRICONED BETITIO		91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	□ 899 Admir		ocedure	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS  3 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO		Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/R	eview or Ap	ppeal of	
<ul><li>210 Land Condemnation</li><li>220 Foreclosure</li></ul>	441 Voting	Sentence			or D	efendant)		y Decision		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	Habeas Corpus:				Third Party	☐ 950 Const		of	
240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	□ 530 General				JSC 7609	State	Statutes		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty	ther 7	IMMIGRATION 162 Naturalization Applicatio	on l					
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 540 Mandamus & O☐ 550 Civil Rights		163 Habeas Corpus -						
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee						
	Other	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -		(Prisoner Petition)						
	□ 448 Education	Conditions of Confinement		465 Other Immigration Actions						
▼ 1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Appellate Court	Re	opened anot	nsferred from ther district	☐ 6 Multidist				
	Cite the U.S. Civil S	statute under which yo	ou are filin	g (Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes unles	ss diversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of									
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTIO		DEMAND \$ ording to Proof		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		in complai		
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER _				
IX. DIVISIONAL AS	SIGNMENT (Civil I	.R. 3-2)								
(Place an "X" in One Box C	Only)		CO/QA	KLAND SA	AN JOSE	□ EURE	CKA			
	09/2012	SIGNATURE C	EATTO	RNEY OF RECORD						

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1 -COMPLAINT

Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788 et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

# **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788.30, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1337. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, Joe Mayo is a consumer, a natural person allegedly obligated to pay any debt, residing in the state of Texas.
- 4. Defendant, Diversified Collection Services, Inc. is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state with its principal place of business located in Alameda County at 333 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 100, Livermore, CA 94551. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and the Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2.

## **FACTS**

- 6. Upon information and belief, within one year prior to the filing of this complaint, Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff, seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt owed under an account number.
- 7. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant began contacting Plaintiff and placing collection calls to Plaintiff sometime prior to April of 2012.
- 9. Upon information and belief, within one year from the filing of this complaint, Defendant called Plaintiff, and lied and/or mislead him; threatening to put a lien on his co-signor's home itself and intercept his federal income tax refund itself, when only the current creditor can seek to take some of that threatened action.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant mislead Plaintiff, by demanding payment from Plaintiff in communications that were within the first thirty days from the first initial communication with Plaintiff without also stating that Plaintiff still had an opportunity to dispute and/or seek validation for the

alleged debt; overshadowing Plaintiff's rights to dispute and/or seek validation for the alleged debt.

11. As a result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff suffered emotional distress resulting in his feeling stressed and embarrassed.

## COUNT I - FDCPA

- 11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.
- 12. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
  - (b) Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt; and
  - (c) Defendant violated §1692e(2)(B) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the services rendered or compensation which may be lawfully received by the Defendant for the collection of the alleged debt; and

(d) Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take action that it did not intend to take.

13. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the plaintiff Joe Mayo for actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

### **COUNT II – RFDCPA**

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs.
- 16. Defendant violated the Ronsenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"). Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
  - (a) Defendant violated §1788.10(e) of the RFDCPA by threatening that nonpayment of a consumer debt would result in a garnishment of Plaintiff's wages while not permitted to legally do so; and
  - (b) Defendant violated §§1788.11(d) and (e) of the RFDCPA by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly to annoy the person called and by communicating with Plaintiff with such frequency as to constitute an harassment under the circumstances; and
  - (c) Defendant violated §1788.13(j) of the RFDCPA by falsely representing that a legal proceeding would be instituted unless payment of a consumer debt was made; and

- (d) Defendant violated §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by being a debt collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt that is not compliant with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j of the FDCPA, the references to federal codes in this section referring to those codes as they read as of January 1, 2001.
- 17. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 18. As a result of the foregoing violations of the RFDCPA, Defendant is liable to the plaintiff Joe Mayo for actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Joe Mayo respectfully requests that judgment be entered against defendant Diversified Collection Services, Inc. for the following:

- A. Actual damages.
- B. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30.
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30.
- E. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

	Case 3:12-cv-03149-JSC Document 1 Filed 06/19/12 Page 8 of 8							
1	DECDECTELL LV CLIDMITTED							
2	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,  DATED: June 13, 2012 PRICE LAW GROUP APC							
3	DATED: June 13, 2012 PRICE LAW GROUP APC							
4	Some State of the							
5	By: G. Thomas Martin, III Attorney for Plaintiff							
6	Attorney for Plaintiff							
7								
8								
9	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
10	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JOE MAYO demands trial by jury							
11	in this action.							
12								
13								
14								
15								
16 17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								